



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Am

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/025,169	12/18/2001	Kuldipsingh Pabla	5181-90001	6126

7590 05/17/2005

Robert C. Kowert
Conley, Rose, & Tayon, P.C.
P.O. Box 398
Austin, TX 78767

EXAMINER

HU, JINSONG

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2154

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/025,169

Applicant(s)

PABLA, KULDIPSINGH

Examiner

Jinsong Hu

Art Unit

2154

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 April 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-70 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-70 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date see attached.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

IDS mailing date: 11/4/02; 2/7/03; 11/10/03; 4/13/05; 4/13/05

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-70 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-17 and 19-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Teodosiu et al. (US 2002/0062375).
4. Teodosiu is prior art reference cited by Applicant on form 1449, dated to 4/13/05.
5. As per claims 1, 4-5 and 7 -10, Teodosiu teaches the invention as claimed including a method for providing a discovery service in a peer-to-peer network [paragraph 4], the method comprising:

a peer group name server [110, 130, Fig. 1] receiving information about a peer group, wherein the peer group comprises one or more peers as member peers of the

peer group, wherein the one or more peers reside on one or more network nodes coupled to the network [paragraphs 29-30, 39 and 124]; and

the peer group name server storing the received information about the peer group [paragraphs 31-32];

wherein the information about the peer group stored on the peer group name server is accessible to entities on the network through the peer group name server to discover the peer group [paragraphs 33, 37, 59-61, 72 and 78].

6. As per claim 2, Teodosiu teaches wherein the information about the peer group includes information configured for use by the entities in joining the peer group [paragraph 34].

7. As per claim 3, Teodosiu teaches that the information about the peer group includes a peer group name of the peer group and a peer group identifier of the peer group [paragraphs 89-92].

8. As per claim 6, Teodosiu teaches the information about each of the one or more member peers includes information configured for use in accessing the particular member peer to communicate directly with the particular member peer via the network [paragraphs 72 and 78].

9. As per claims 11-14, 17, 19-26 and 28-30, since they disclose same limitations as claims 1-10 from different sides [i.e., method and performing step], they are rejected for the same basis as claims 1-10 above.

10. As per claims 15 and 16, Teodosiu teaches the process accessing the information about the peer group name server prior to said accessing the peer group name server, wherein the process uses the information about the peer group name server in said accessing the peer group name server [paragraphs 37, 78 and 80].

11. As per claim 27, Teodosiu teaches the step of selecting the peer group from the discovered plurality of peer groups; and joining the selected peer group as a member peer of the peer group [paragraph 33].

12. As per claims 31-36, since they disclose the same limitation as claims 1-10 from different prospectors [i.e., server and peers], they are rejected for the same basis.

13. As per claims 37-43 and 58-60, since they are apparatus [i.e., server and network] claims of claims 1-10, they are rejected for the same basis as claims 1-10 above.

14. As per claim 44-57, since they are system claims of claims 1-10, they are rejected for the same basis as claims 1-10 above.

Art Unit: 2154

15. As per claims 61-70, since they are computer program claims of claims 1-10, 11, 13, 25 and 29-31, they are rejected for the same basis as claims 1-10, 11, 13, 25 and 29-31 above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

16. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

17. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Teodosiu et al. (US 2002/0062375) as applied to claims 1-17 and 19-70 above.

18. As per claim 18, Teodosiu teaches the invention substantially as claimed in claim 1. Teodosiu does not specifically teach the step of amending the information about the peer group when a left the group. However, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to including the amending step in Teodosiu's system to increase the accuracy of the peer group information by updating information without delay. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify Teodosiu's system to increase the quality of peer-to-peer service.

Art Unit: 2154

Conclusion

19. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Delaney et al. (US 2001/0027479), Borella et al. (US 6,269,099), Abdelaziz et al. (US 2003/0041141), and Mead et al. (US 6,680,942) disclose peer-to-peer network.

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jinsong Hu whose telephone number is (571) 272-3965. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John A. Follansbee can be reached on (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jinsong Hu

May 12, 2005



VIET D. VU
PRIMARY EXAMINER